

4-10-00

A



Attorney Docket No. A00006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner of Patents
 BOX PATENT APPLICATION
 Washington, D.C. 20231



NEW UTILITY APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the utility patent application of:

**Inventors: Janette Bradley, Paul Gray, Glenn Lea, Michael Phillips, Peter Scannell,
 and Dustin Simms**

For: REVIEW AND APPROVAL SYSTEM

This new application is an Original application. Enclosed are:

23	Pages of Specification	1	Pages of Abstract
6	Pages of Claims	14	Sheets of Informal Drawings

Claims As Filed


Number Filed	Number Extra	Rate	Basic Fee 37 CFR 1.16(a) \$690.00
Total Claims 23 - 20	3	x \$18.00	54.00
Independent Claims 4 - 3	1	x \$78.00	78.00
Multiple Dependent Claims 0	0	x .00	.00
TOTAL FEE			<u>\$822.00</u>

Please charge **Deposit Account No. 50-0876** in the amount of **\$822.00** filing fee. A duplicate copy of this transmittal is enclosed. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0876.

Please direct all future correspondence regarding this application to:

Kenneth L. Milik
Director of Patent Operations
Avid Technology, Inc.
One Park West
Tewksbury, MA 01876

Respectfully submitted,




Lawrence E. Monks
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 34,224
Avid Technology, Inc.
One Park West
Tewksbury, MA 01876
Tel: 978-640-5411

Dated: April 7, 2000

Certificate Under 37 CFR 1.10

I hereby certify that this New Application Transmittal and documents referred to as attached therein are being deposited with the United States Postal Service on April 7, 2000, in an envelope as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee, mailing Label Number EH984560165US, addressed to Commissioner of Patents, BOX PATENT APPLICATION, Washington, D.C. 20231.



Lawrence E. Monks
Reg. No. 34,224

U.S. EXPRESS MAIL EH984560165US

REVIEW AND APPROVAL SYSTEM

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to a computer system that implements an automated review and approval system. In particular the review and approval system incorporates workflow procedures along with the ability to store frame accurate reviewer comments for a review of a video clip.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Conventionally, the process of producing an audio/visual work such as a commercial, an infomercial, a television program, or a movie has involved recording and capturing the necessary content for both the audio and visual material, editing the content to produce a draft version of the finished work, and circulating the draft versions of the finished work for review to solicit approval for the work before distribution. Typically, the last step of reviewing the draft versions involves multiple iterations of review.

The review process is time intensive since multiple reviewers are involved, who are usually in different geographical locations. To distribute the work, tapes must be sent out to each reviewer, which in itself is time consuming. For efficiency sake, the reviews are generally performed in an ordered fashion to avoid conflicting change requests that might create delays. At each reviewing level in the hierarchy, a new set of tapes must be created that reflect the prior requested changes.

If the reviewer's comments are in the form of handwritten notes or voicemail, it may be difficult to correlate the comments to the precise location in the work. In order to assure accuracy of the comments at the frame level, the reviewer and the editor may need to review the work together on the phone. While this collaboration produces the desired result, it is also costly in time and expense, and again submits to the possibility of a misunderstanding.

What is needed is a Review and Approval system that permits efficient access by multiple reviewers to the content to be reviewed, and that promotes accuracy in correlating a reviewer's comments with the appropriate portion of the content. In particular, the comments should correspond to an individual frame of the content under review or a sequence of frames. Comments may then be saved and made available for later review.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The Review and Approval system of the present invention advantageously provides computer implemented access for a reviewer to digital content for the purpose of reviewing and approving the digital content. In one aspect of the invention, the digital content under review is an audio/visual work.

In a further aspect of the invention, the digital content is a visual work that is comprised of digital frames. The digital frames are encoded using the Quicktime format.

In a yet further aspect of the invention, the Review and Approval system consists of cooperating software applications, one which is a client software component that executes at a client computer system while the other is a server software component that executes at a server system. The Review and Approval system of the present invention employs a client/server architecture.

In a further aspect of the invention, the client software component and the server software component interface and communicate over a remote communications network.

In a still further aspect of the invention, the client software component of the Review and Approval system includes an Internet software browser for communicating over the Internet with the server system. The Internet software browser may also permit the execution of a JAVA® applet to implement portions of the Review and Approval system.

In a still further aspect of the invention, the digital content is stored at the server system and transferred to the client system for viewing using the Internet software browser. Video may be played at the Internet software browser at the normal speed at which it was recorded or on a frame by frame basis with the user determining when the next frame is played.

In a further aspect of the invention, a reviewer may enter comments into the Review and Approval system using the Internet software browser. The comments are associated with and correspond to individual frames or a duration of

frames that are played by the Internet software browser. The comments are stored at the server system and made available for later viewing.

In yet further aspect of the invention, a reviewer using a pointing device may indicate a location on a frame of video played by the Internet software browser to which comments may apply. In this aspect of the invention, the video along with the pointer markings are stored at the server system and available for later viewing.

In a still further aspect of the invention, comments are entered into the Review and Approval system by recording speech that is input at the client system. The speech is correlated by the Review and Approval system with an individual frame of video data or a sequence of frames, and is transmitted to the server system for storage thereon.

In one aspect of the invention, multiple reviewers may access the Review and Approval system concurrently to review the same content. Each reviewer's comments are stored at the server system, and all the reviewer's comments are available for viewing. The comments are displayed according to the timecode of the video frame.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a better understanding of the present invention, together with other and further objects, advantages and capabilities thereof, reference is made to the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 depicts a schematic of the environment in which the present invention operates.

FIG. 2 depicts a computer system as embodied by the client systems or server systems, according to the invention.

FIG. 3 shows a schematic diagram of the server and client software, according to the invention.

FIG. 4 depicts the Review and Approval Administration user interface.

FIG. 5 depicts the My Reviewers List user interface.

FIG. 6 depicts the Create a Project user interface.

FIG. 7 depicts a user interface for selecting reviewers.

FIG. 8 shows as a flow chart an example of a Review and Approval workflow that is sequenced, according to the invention.

FIG. 9 depicts a user interface for reporting reviewer status.

FIG. 10 depicts a user interface for displaying reviewer comments.

FIG. 11 depicts a user interface for entering reviewer comments that are associated with an individual video frame.

FIG. 12 shows the user interface of FIG. 11 with a single comment provided.

FIG. 13 shows the user interface of FIG. 11 with a second comment provided.

FIG. 14 shows an example of an R&S Interchange file, according to the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The drawings and specification illustrate an exemplary system and method of the invention. It is to be understood that the present disclosure is to be considered as an exemplification of the principals of the specific and preferred aspects of the invention. It is not intended to limit the broad aspects of the invention to the illustrated or exemplary embodiments thereof. The review and approval system (hereafter the "R&A system"), according to the present invention will now be described. The R&A system advantageously provides for the automated distribution of video and/or audio clips to one or more reviewers to solicit approval for the work. The R&A system according to the present invention is described with reference to the review of video and audio clips for movies, commercials, infomercials, and the like. However, it is clear that certain aspects of the invention are not limited to a review and approval application for only video, audio or film clips, but have a broader applicability, and the following disclosure should be read in this light

Referring now to FIG. 1 there is shown in schematic form the environment in which the present invention operates. Client system 10 is a computer system on which a user invokes the R&A system of the present invention. Client system 10 is typically a personal computer (PC), but may also be a workstation, minicomputer or mainframe computer. One of ordinary skill in the art will clearly recognize that the present invention is not restricted to a specific computer system and is applicable to many different computer system configurations.

Client system 10 is connected to a communication network 30 for transmitting and receiving data to and from a server system 20 that is also connected to communication network 30. Communications network 30 may be a Local Area Network (LAN), such as an Ethernet network, or a Wide Area Network (WAN), such as a packet switching network. Communication network 30 may also be a combination of a LAN and WAN, and is in the preferred embodiment of the invention, the Internet. The present invention does not require a specific communication network 30 to practice the invention, and those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that many communication configurations are applicable to the invention. Server system 20 connects to communication network 30, and is capable of communicating with client system 10 through communication network 30. Client system 10 typically make requests to server system 20, and the server system 20 responds to the request by communicating back to the client system through the communication network 30. Client system 10 and server system 20 conform to the well-known "client/server" architecture. The foregoing discussion has been limited to only one client system and one server system for the sake of simplicity and clarity, but one of ordinary skill in the art will clearly recognize that the environment in which the present invention operates is not so limited. Multiple client systems and multiple server systems may be connected to a communication network 30 for the purpose of communication between the systems (both client and server systems).

For simplicity, the invention herein will be described in relation to a single client system and one server system, but again the invention is not so limited. Each reviewer in the review and approval process may use a different client system to access the R&A system, and communicate over the communication network 30. Communication network 30 provides for the ability to connect many client systems and server systems, and is only limited by performance considerations as is well known in the art.

FIG. 2 shows a typical computer system as embodied by client system 10, or server system 20 for practicing the invention. For illustrative purposes, client system 10 will be described, but the following description is also applicable to server system 20. Server system 20 may have better performance characteristics than the client systems, due to a faster processor, expanded memory, and a larger non-volatile memory, but generally server system 20 includes the same computer components and peripherals as the client systems.

Client system 10 includes a computer processing unit (CPU) 205, such as a Pentium III processor from Intel Corporation, for executing computer instructions, and a random access memory (RAM) 210 for storing computer instructions and digital data. CPU 205 communicates with RAM 210 via communication bus 215. A storage element 220, such as a magnetic or optical disk, for storing non-volatile digital data also connects to communication bus 215 through a disk controller 222. Communication bus 215 also allows communication of messages and data between storage element 220 and CPU 205 or RAM 210. Client system 10 further

includes a network port 225 for communicating data over communication network 30, and an input controller 235, such as a keyboard 237 for keying in data, a pointer device 238, such as a mouse, or a microphone 239 for entering information into client system 10. Client system 10 also include a display device 230, such as a display monitor, for viewing multimedia data such as video clips and graphical information, and is interfaced to communication bus 215 through display controller 232.

Client system 10 executes computer software including computer instructions for implementing a review and approval system, in the preferred embodiment of the invention. In one embodiment of the invention, client system 10 executes an Internet software browser such as Netscape Navigator from Netscape Corporation of Mountainview, California or Internet Explorer from Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington for communicating over communication network 30 to server system 20 and uses the HTTP protocol to invoke the review and approval services at server system 20. Server system 20 includes computer software for executing portions of the R&A system, according to the present invention.

As shown in FIG. 3A, the R&A system 300 includes R&A server software 310 that executes on server system 20, and interfaces, in one embodiment of the invention, to R&A client software 330 that executes at client system 10. In one embodiment of the invention, as shown in FIG. 3B, R&A server software 310 interfaces to an Internet software browser 320, executing on client system 10. The

Internet software browser 320, executing on client system 10, includes the R&A client software 330 that executes as a JAVA[®] applet 325 at client system 10. The R&A client software 330 typically resides on server system 20, and is transmitted from server system 20 to client system 10 for execution at client system 10 under control of a JAVA[®] virtual machine (not shown) incorporated in the Internet software browser 320. JAVA[®] is a software specification from Sun Microsystems of Mountainview, California for executing a custom computer application in association with a web browser at the client system. JAVA[®] is a registered trademark of Sun Microsystems. The R&A server software 310 and R&A client software 330 communicate using the HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP) for requesting and delivering data and the HyperText Mark-up Language (HTML) for encoding the data. The HTTP protocol, JAVA[®] and HTML are well known to those of ordinary skill in the art, and thus there it is not necessary to describe them in further detail here.

The R&A server software 310 and R&A client software 330 execute as cooperative computer applications, and together implement the R&A system 300 of the present invention. While the preferred embodiment of the invention is implemented as a web browser application executing over the Internet, it should be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art that the invention described herein can be readily implemented as two cooperative computer applications interfacing remotely over any suitable communications network or even as a single computer

application in which the functionality of the server and client software are combined in one computer system.

Having described, in general, the hardware and software environment in which the present invention operates, further details of the R&A system will now be described. Again, the invention is described for illustrative purposes in relation to a R&A system for reviewing video, film, or audio clips, but one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that aspects of the invention are applicable to other review applications, and the scope of the invention is not contemplated to encompass only a review process associated with these media.

In the preferred embodiment of the invention, the R&A system 300 is invoked at client system 10 by initiating execution of an Internet web browser 320 as earlier described, and directing Internet web browser 320 to contact the R&A system 300 at server system 20 by addressing the system with a specific Universal Resource Locator (URL). In the preferred embodiment of the invention, Internet web browser 320 initiates R&A system 300 by addressing the URL, www.AvidProNet.com, and the R&A system will be described in relation to this embodiment.

After initiating contact with the R&A system 300 at server system 20 through Internet web browser 320, the R&A system may optionally required the user at client system 10 to “logon” to the system for identification purposes. The identification process allows the R&A system 300 to customize its response to the user, but this is not a requirement of the invention.

Review and Approval Workflow

With the “logon” process completed, the user of the system is presented with a user interface as shown in FIG. 4 for navigating through the R&A system 300. In the following figures, underlined text indicates that the text may be selected by an input device such as a mouse to solicit additional information that is associated with the text. The R&A system according to the current invention tracks the review process associated with a project. A project may consist of multiple tasks under review, and in the preferred embodiment each project includes media content having one or more media clips for review. The media clips may be either video or audio clips. The “Review and Approval Administration” user interface 400 provides information about the status of pending projects under review and permits the user to create a new project for review. The “Review and Approval Administration” user interface 400 indicates in a pending project list 410 that three projects are pending in the review process. One of the pending projects is the “Balance Beam commercial” 420 that includes a sequence of three reviews: roughcut two 422, roughcut two legal review 424, and a roughcut two rev2 426 review. The review status is also presented for each of the reviews 422, 424, and 426. For example, the roughcut two 422 status indicates that 4 out of the 6 reviewers have reviewed the commercial. Since the text is underlined, further information is available for the roughcut two 422 review. The “Review and Approval Administration” user interface 400 also

provides for the ability to create a project and review a directory of reviewers by choosing the respective selection option 430 or 440.

Selecting “My Reviewers List” from “Review and Approval Administration” user interface 400, a user of the R&A system 300 is presented with a user interface 500 for adding or removing reviewers from a global directory of reviewers. The directory provides an easy mechanism to store a reviewer’s data for later assignment to a project, and also permits the grouping of reviewers to provide an efficient way to assign multiple reviewers to a project. User interface 500 includes entry fields for entering a name 510, an email address 520 and optionally a group designation 530 for each reviewer. The group designation allows multiple reviewers to be selected by a common designation. For example the all attorneys in the Legal Department could be selected as reviewers by specifying the group name “Legal”. In the preferred embodiment of the invention, each reviewer subscribes to the services provided at the web site www.AvidProNet.com (APN) by Avid Technology Inc. of Tewksbury, Massachusetts, and thus an APN membership identifier can identify an individual. The “My Reviewers List” user interface 500 also permits the creation of a group 540 and the deletion of a group 550, including optionally the deletion of all the reviewers associated with the group 560.

If a project is to be created as determined by the user in the “Review and Approval Administration” user interface 400, a “Create a Project” user interface

600, as shown in FIG. 6, is presented to the user for soliciting a project name 610 and a description of the project 620.

Having specified a new project for review as shown in FIG. 6, the user is presented with user interface 700 for identifying clips that are to be reviewed by individual reviewers. The reviewers are selected from the list of reviewers 710 in the global directory that was earlier created. The selected reviewers are assigned a clip, which in the preferred embodiment of the invention is a video or audio clip, to review by identifying a clip 720 residing on server system 20 or specifying a path name to access the clip 730 on another system. A short description of the review 740 and the date for completion of the review 750 may be entered. Instructions 760 for the reviewers may also be entered in free form. Having completed the assignment process, the user submits the clip for review 770 to the designated reviewers. The reviewers are notified, in one practice of the invention, by email of their assignments, but other ways of notification are contemplated to be within the scope of the invention. For example, reviewers may be notified when they “logon” to the R&A system 300.

In FIG. 8 there is shown an example of an alternative embodiment of the invention in which the workflow process permits the reviews to be sent out in an ordered manner. For example, the project creator determines that a lawyer needs to see and approve the sequence before any other reviews take place. The creator selects the lawyer as the first reviewer, as shown in step 810, and indicates that the lawyer’s approval is necessary before the sequence is sent on to the next reviewer.

The lawyer completes the review, step 820, and indicates whether the sequence can be sent on to the next reviewer. If it is approved, step 830, notification is sent to the next reviewer, step 840, and notification of approval is sent to the project creator, step 850. If it is not approved the project creator receives notification of the rejection, step 860, and he can view the comments, step 870, and make the appropriate changes to the project before sending it out for review again, step 880.

In this embodiment of the invention, a template workflow is generated that ensures the correct order of review events based on the role a reviewer plays in a project. Templates provide a mechanism for the R&A administrator to build in both the correct business logic and correct role-based workflow for each project. For example, a firm is currently engaged in producing three different television programs, each of which has a set of reviewers and a correct order of review events. One program must have every sequence reviewed by the legal team before any further reviews can take place and their comments must be routed to both the project creator and the Executive Producer. Another program requires a legal review only at the end of the process. The third program requires that the Executive Producer and Producer be the first set of reviewers and that their reviews must occur simultaneously. The R&A administrator builds templates for each program and stores them on the R&A server. The project creator can then initiate a complete role-based workflow around a specific sequence with one click,

ensuring correctness and minimizing the chance that a person will be skipped in the R&A process.

The R&A system 300 of the present invention also includes the ability to determine the status of the review process as shown in FIG. 9. FIG. 9 depicts a user interface 900 for displaying the status of individual clips assigned for review. The clip 910 is identified, and the review status 920 for each reviewer is reported. As is shown in FIG. 9, the review status 920 includes the group designation, if applicable, for each reviewer. A selection box 930 is provided for viewing the comments of those reviewers that have completed their review. The comments from all the reviewers who have completed their review may be viewed by selecting button 930, or only the comments of selected reviewers may be viewed by selecting button 940.

Referring now to FIG. 10, there is shown a list of all the completed reviewer's comments 1000 for a selected clip 1010. Comments 1020 may be displayed by timecode, reviewer, or date of the comment. As was earlier discussed, the present R&A system 300 is described in terms of a review of video clips corresponding to a commercial, infomercial, movie or the like, and thus, in this embodiment, a timecode identifies a frame of video.

The Review Process

Having described the process for assigning media content for review, according to the invention, the review functionality of the present invention will

now be described. R&A system 300 will be further described with reference to video data. However, the invention is not limited to video data, but encompasses media content including but not limited to audio data, and graphical data. The invention In a preferred embodiment of the invention, R&A system 300 is invoked at server system 20 by directing an Internet web browser 320 at client system 10 to contact a specific URL associated with R&A system 300, as earlier described.

After a reviewer "logs on" to R&A system 300, the reviewer is presented with a list of assignments for review. Each assignment includes one or more video clips for review. A video clip consists of a series of video frames in digital form. Each video frame from the clip is associated with a timecode that uniquely identifies the video frame. In one practice of the invention, the video clips are encoded according to the Quicktime format from Apple Computer of Cupertino, California. However, one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the present invention is not limited or restricted to the Quicktime format, and other encoding formats may be used to practice the invention. The video clips for review are digitally stored on server system 20 and transmitted to client system 10 for playing the video clips during the review process. Consequently, client system 10 includes a video player to play the appropriate format. If the media content is audio, the audio is digitized prior to storage at server system 20, and partitioned into audio frames, having an appropriate number of digital samples for providing reviewer comments. The audio frames are ordered in a well-defined manner to uniquely identify them.

After selecting an assignment for review, the reviewer is presented, as depicted in FIG. 11, with a review user interface 1100 at Internet web browser 320 on client system 10. Review user interface 1100 includes a video window 1110 for playing the video clip to be reviewed. Using buttons 1120, the selected video clip can be played at normal speed or on a frame by frame basis. The clip can be played in a fast forward mode or reversed. For each frame displayed in video window 1110, the timecode 1130 of the frame is displayed. The review user interface 1100 further includes a comment window 1140 for entering comments in free form corresponding to the displayed frame. In one embodiment of the invention, the R&A client software 330 includes voice recording software for recording comments corresponding to the displayed frame that are orally entered through a microphone. The voice comments can be selected for an individual frame and played back for review. Voice comments may be stored in a ".wav" file, but one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that other formats are acceptable for practicing the invention. In general the only requirement is that the voice which is associated with a particular frame be captured digitally for transmission to the server system 20. In yet a further embodiment of the invention, the R&A client software 330 includes support for voice recognition software such as supplied by Dragon Systems of Newton, Massachusetts for entering text into comment window 1140 using a microphone. The voice recognition software converts speech to text, and the text is captured in the comment window. Review user interface 1100 also includes a history window

1150 that indicates a history, according to timecode, of the comments made for the video clip by a reviewer.

FIG. 12 shows a comment 1210 that is associated with a video frame having timecode 01:00:07:10. In one embodiment of the invention and as shown in FIG. 12, a pointer device such as a mouse is used to outline an area that corresponds to the comment 1210. Using FIG. 12 as an example, ring 1230 outlines in which the sky is too blue. When the comments are reviewed, the frame can be blown up to show the outlined area. The history window 1150 also includes a history record 1220 of the comment

FIG. 13 shows a second comment 1320 that has been entered into the comment window 1140 by a reviewer. The second comment 1320 is associated with a video frame having timecode 01:00:11:08. A second history record 1320 stored in the history window 1150. As was earlier discussed, the history window 1150 includes a history of all the comments made by a reviewer, and thus the first comment 1220 by the reviewer at timecode 01:00:07:10 is also included in the history window 1150.

Review and Approval Interchange

In a further embodiment of the invention, R&A system 300 receives an R&A Interchange file that is generated by an editing system such as the Media Composer from Avid Technology, Inc., and incorporates comments produced at the editing system with the original comments of the reviewers. The comments

generated at the editing system may be a response to original comments, and thus the R&A Interchange file provides allows a continuous dialog between the reviewers and the editors on the editing system.

R&A system 300 includes generating an R&A Interchange file for directly communicating R&A metadata with an editing system. R&A metadata may include without limitation the reviewer's identification, the comments of each individual reviewer, and the time of the reviewer's comments. The comments of reviewers can thus be integrated into the timeline by the editing system and are selectable on a frame basis by the editor. An example of such an editing system is the Media Composer® that is sold by Avid Technology, Inc. of Tewksbury Massachusetts.

The R&A Interchange file is a TAB delineated file format with basic global information in the header. The data fields can be specific to already existing bin column data or can be extended by any custom data the user wishes to add on per clip basis. In the case of the R&A system 300, it permits additional information to be associated with a point in time (a frame) or optionally a duration (a series of frames).

Header information will describe the file type and global information as it relates to the review and approval process.

Header

- “FILE_TYPE” will be “R&A” to specify that this is a Review and approval” file and will not import directly into a bin. This is the internal information on the file type in addition to the three letter extension at the file level with .RNA
- “SEQUENCE” will become name of sequence being reviewed to do a quick string compare upon importing file into review and approval
- “FPS” will support “FRAMES” to indicate that the data for frame markers are frame based, and not specific to any particular frame rate. Other valid data can be 24fps, 25fps, and 30fps SMPTE timecode to indicate the rate of the master track used to navigate the R&A session.

Body

- “COLUMN” is the indicator that this row will be the header name from the data field. TABS separate these fields.
 - “FRAME IN” is the frame reference. This field can contain a total frame count, which is an offset from zero (0) where (0) is the first frame of the sequence/movie. This field can also contain a timecode based on the FPS described in the GLOBAL.

- “FRAME OUT” is an optional field used to describe a duration of a comment. If used, the review and approval track will create a container for that range with all comments inside. FRAME OUT can be the same value as FRAME IN. This will indicate a single moment in time versus a range.
- “COMMENT” is the actual text entered by the reviewer during the R&A session. There will be no limitation to the amount of characters entered in this field other than the use of a TAB character.
- “REVIEWER” is the name of the reviewer taken from the log-in used by the user in this session.
- “COMMENT DATE” is the date at the time the comment was created. This will be expressed in military date year/month/day to avoid confusion across countries.
- “COMMENT TIME” is the local time at the time the comment was created. This will be expressed in military time
- “TRACK” is the track on which the comment is describing. Data can be V1-Vx and A1-Ax
- “DATA” is the actual field data described in the COLUMN separated by <TAB>

A sample file description follows along with a formatted sample file as shown in FIG. 14.

FILE__TYPE<TAB>R&A<RETURN>

SEQUENCE<TAB>SEQUENCE NAME<RETURN>
FPS<TAB>24<RETURN>
<RETURN>
COLUMN<RETURN>
FRAME IN<TAB>FRAME
OUT<TAB>COMMENT<TAB>REVIEWER<TAB>COMMENT
DATE<TAB>COMMENT TIME<RETURN>
<RETURN>
DATA<RETURN>
0<TAB>0<TAB>FADE
IN<RETURN>MEP<TAB>00/03/01<TAB>09:54<RETURN>
120<TAB><120><TAB>SHORTEN OUTGOING
<TAB>MEP<TAB>00/03/01<TAB>09:57<RETURN>
157<TAB><157><TAB>TRY A DISSOLVE INSTEAD OF A WIPE<TAB>
MEP<TAB>00/03/01<TAB>10:02<RETURN>

While a preferred embodiment of the invention has been set forth,
variations may occur to those skilled in the art, that are within the scope of the
invention, as defined in the following claims.

We claim:

1. A review and approval system for media content, said system comprising:

a player for playing said media content; said media content consisting of a plurality of frames of digital data;

a comment receiver for receiving comments pertaining to one or more of said frames of said media content; and

a storage manager for storing said comments in correspondence with said frames of digital data.
2. The review and approval system of claim 1 wherein said media content is video data ordered by time code.
3. The review and approval system of claim 1 wherein said media content is Quicktime video data.
4. The review and approval system of claim 1 further including:

a review and approval administration interface for receiving a list of one or more reviewers to provide said comments.
5. The review and approval system of claim 1 wherein said comments are text.

6. The review and approval system of claim 1 wherein said comments are voice comments.

7. The review and approval system of claim 1 wherein said player and said comment receiver execute on a first computer system and said storage manger executes on a second computer system, and said first and second computer systems are coupled by a communications network.

8. A review and approval system for reviewing media content by a reviewer and capable of communicating with a media editing system, said system comprising:

a player for playing said media content; said media content consisting of a plurality of frames of digital data;

a comment receiver for receiving comments by said reviewer, corresponding to one or more of said frames of said media content; and

a storage manager for storing said comments in correspondence with said frames of digital data, and

a file generator for producing a data file of said comments for informing said media editing system of said comments by said reviewer.

9. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said data file has a data structure identifying said reviewer and said comment of said reviewer.

10. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said datafile has a data structure identifying a frame rate for playing said media content.

11. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said datafile has a data structure identifying said frames of digital data and corresponding said comments.

12. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said datafile indicates that said datafile contains said comments of said review and approval system. 13.

The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said media content is video data ordered by timecode.

14. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said media content is audio data.

15. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said media content is Quicktime video data.

16. The review and approval system of claim 8 further including:

a review and approval administration interface for receiving a list of one or more reviewers to provide said comments.

17. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said comments are text.

18. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said comments are voice comments.

19. The review and approval system of claim 8 wherein said player and said comment receiver execute on a first computer system and said storage manger executes on a second computer system, and said first and second computer systems are coupled by a communications network.

20. A computer program product, comprising:

a computer readable medium; and

computer readable instructions stored on the computer readable medium, wherein the instructions , when executed by a computer to instruct the computer to perform a process for reviewing a media content and providing comments by a user with respect to the media content, comprising:

playing said media content; said media content consisting of a plurality of frames of digital data;

receiving comments pertaining to one or more of said frames of said media content; and

storing said comments in correspondence with said frames of digital data.

21. A computer program product, comprising:

a computer readable medium; and

computer readable instructions stored on the computer readable medium, wherein the instructions , when executed by a computer to instruct the computer to perform a process for reviewing a media content and providing comments by a user with respect to the media content and communicating the comments to a editing system, comprising:

playing said media content; said media content consisting of a plurality of frames of digital data;

receiving comments by the user, corresponding to one or more of said frames of said media content; and

communicating the comments of the user to said editing system.

a frame rate for playing said media content to said editing system.

22. The computer program product of claim 21 wherein the process for reviewing a media content and providing comments by a user with respect to the media content and communicating the comments to a editing system further includes communicating frames of digital data and corresponding said comments to the editing system.

23. The computer program product of claim 21 wherein the process for reviewing a media content and providing comments by a user with respect to the media content and communicating the comments to a editing system further includes communicating to the editing that the communicated comments originated at the review and approval system.

ABSTRACT

The Review and Approval system of the present invention advantageously provides computer implemented access for a reviewer to digital content for the purpose of reviewing and approving the digital content. The system is capable of communication with an editing system, and automatically informing an editor of the reviewer's comments.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

400
↓

review & approval

Review and Approval Administration

- Balance Beam commercial
Fifteen second commercial beam/gymnast concept

422

roughcut two - reviewed by 4 of 6 reviewers

424 roughcut two legal review - reviewed by 2 of 2 reviewers

roughcut two rev2 - reviewed by 3 of 3 reviewers

426

- Boomerang commercial

edit2 - reviewed by 2 of 2 reviewers

edit2 rev2 - reviewed by 0 of 5 reviewers

- Dolphin commercial

edit5 - reviewed by 3 of 9 reviewers

Create a Project

My Reviewers List

FIG. 4

500
↓

review & approval

My Reviewers List

Store reviewers' information here for easy assignment to Clips and projects. Short descrip and features, instructions go here.

Add reviewers to list

APN Member Name: 510
Email Address: 520
Group (optional): 530

APN Member Name	Email Address	Group	
STONES	SJ@AVID.COM	NONE	<input type="button" value="remove"/>
P DOE	PD@AVID.COM	LEGAL	<input type="button" value="remove"/>
M BAILEY	MB@AVID.COM	LEGAL	<input type="button" value="remove"/>
			<input type="button" value="remove"/>
			<input type="button" value="remove"/>

Manage Groups

Create a group: 540
Delete a group: 550
☐ also delete all reviewers in group
560

FIG. 5

600
↓

review & approval

Create a Project

Name of Project:

610

Description of Project:

620





FIG. 6

700
↓

review & approval

Go to AvidProNet.com
to your home page

Balance Beam commercial
Select Clip for Review

Select reviewers for the review, then upload a new clip, or use a clip you've already uploaded. Reviewers will automatically be sent an email informing them they have a clip for review.

APN Member Name	Email Address	Group
<input type="checkbox"/>		*none*
<input type="checkbox"/>		Cola Core Team
<input type="checkbox"/>		Cola Core Team
<input type="checkbox"/>		Cola Legal Team
<input type="checkbox"/>		Cola Legal Team

710

Assign selected reviewers to review: rough edit 1 720

OR

Assign selected reviewers to a new clip:

Path of Clip to Upload: 730

Short Description of Review: 740

End Review On: (mm/dd/yyyy) 750

Any comments you have for your reviewers go here.

Be sure to check out 00:12:14 for the
lengthened transition we talked about.

760

Submit for Review

770

FIG. 7




FIG. 8

900
↓

review & approval

Balance Beam commercial
"Roughcut two" Clip Status 910

Submitted for Review 3/21/2000
Close Clip to Review

S JONES		not reviewed	
<input type="checkbox"/> P DOE (Legal)	reviewed	3/22/2000
<input type="checkbox"/> K BAILEY (VP)	reviewed	3/24/2000
<input type="checkbox"/> M DAY (Legal)	partially reviewed	3/24/2000

920

View Selected Comments

View All Comments

940

930

FIG. 9

1006
↓

review & approval

Balance Beam commercial


"Roughcut two" Reviewers' Comments

1010

Sort by: timecode | reviewer | date of comment



00:00:13:23 — (VP) 03/22/00
Could we extend this shot to take two seconds, shortening the dissolve to black?



00:00:13:23 — (VP) 03/22/00
Could we extend this shot to take two seconds, shortening the dissolve to black?

1020

1020

1020

FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13

FILE_TYPE R&A
SEQUENCE SATURN
FPS 24

COLUMN	FRAME IN	FRAME OUT	COMMENT	REVIEWER	COMMENT DATE	COMMENT TIME
DATA						
0	0		We need to make a 16:9 version for the PAL markets.	MEP		00/04/10
		09:54	V1			
1003	1003		Match the skycolor to the previous and next shots.	MEP		00/04/10
		10:00	A2			
1019	1019		Get rid of the scratch	MEP	00/04/10	10:02 TC1

FIG. 14